IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

WILLIAM RELYEA,

No. CV 07-878-JE

Plaintiff,

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

QUILITE INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a California LLC, and RODMAN KOTTER, a California resident,

Defendants.

MOSMAN, J.,

On June 16, 2008, Magistrate Judge Jelderks issued Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (#30) in the above-captioned case recommending that I DENY the defendants' Motions to Dismiss (#14 & #23). No objections to the F&R have been filed (#31).

DISCUSSION

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are

Case 3:07-cv-00878-MO Document 32 Filed 08/05/08 Page 2 of 2

addressed. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328

F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review

the F&R depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept,

reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Upon review, I agree with Judge Jelderks's recommendation, and I ADOPT the F&R

(#30) as my own opinion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this <u>1st</u> day of August, 2008.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman

MICHAEL W. MOSMAN United States District Court